

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,071	10/30/2000	Ester Fride	7754-071	6091	
28765	75,90 11/13/2003		EXAMINER		
WINSTON & STRAWN PATENT DEPARTMENT			BARTS, SAMUEL A		
1400 L STRE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3502			1621		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	3 16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A .				
u u			Applicati n No.	Ap	pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/698,071	FR	RIDE ET AL.			
			Examiner	Art	t Unit			
		Name of the Control o	Samuel A Barts	16				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. 0) days, a reply v atutory period will will, by statute, o	(a). In no event, however, may a vithin the statutory minimum of the apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ause the application to become A	reply be timely filed into the many series of the m	led be considered timely. hailing date of this communication. 5 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11 Feb</u>	oruary 2003.					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 30-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 30-49 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers		orden roquirornorna					
9)[10)[The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a)∏ accepction to the dr the correctio	awing(s) be held in abeya n is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 g(s) is objecte	CFR 1.85(a). d to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment	` '				·			
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5) Notice of		0-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/698,071

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's amendments have overcome the 112 rejections.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/11/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Mechoulam does not disclose the specific compounds that are defined by the present claims. This is obviously true because if Mechoulam did disclosed said compounds, the claims would have been anticipated. The rejection made by the examiner is one of obviousness.

Therefore, the reference needs to only suggest the instant claimed compounds. The genus disclosed in Mechoulam embraces the entire instant claimed genus. Furthermore, the compounds disclosed in Mechoulam are not that far removed from the instant claimed compounds. Both facts are sufficient to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. The compounds within this genus would reasonably be expected to have the utility of the genus as a whole.

Applicants argue superior properties of the instant claimed compound. However, applicants do not provide a side-by-side comparison as invited by the examiner to clearly show that the claimed genus does in fact have superior properties. Applicants choose to argue that Mechoulam did not recognize the compounds had any activity. This point is not well taken since the instant claims are "compound" and "composition" claims. The intended use of a compound

Art Unit: 1621

claim is afforded very little weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). If applicants were the first to recognized that known or obvious compounds have a new property, then it more appropriate to present this finding in the form of method claims.

The preamble "CB2 specific agonist" in claim 40 has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Applicants do refer to the results of HU-259¹. However, this is not the closest prior art compound. Thus no showing exists on the record clearly

¹ Please note that the examiner has interpreted applicant's response as referring to compound HU-259. Applicants appear to inadvertently refer to the results in Mechoulam as HU-255. However, applicants correctly stated that Mechoulam did not test HU-255.



Art Unit: 1621

demonstrating the instant claimed invention has superior properties over the prior art of Mechoulam. The rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 30-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mechoulam et al (US 5,434,295). For reasons see previous office action.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,071 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Barts whose telephone number is 703-308-4630. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johan Richter can be reached on 308-1235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Samuel A Barts
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1621

s.b.